

Why Stimulus-Response?

(*Personal note.*)

by

Dean Gotcher

"For all that is in the world, the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life, is not of the Father, but is of the world." 1 John 2:16

"The heart is deceitful above all things [thinking pleasure, i.e., lust is the standard for "good" instead of doing the father's/Father's will], and desperately wicked [hating anyone preventing, i.e., inhibiting or blocking it from enjoying the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' it lusts after]: who can know it?" Jeremiah 17:9 It can not see its [hatred toward the father's/Father's authority](#) as being evil, i.e., "wicked," i.e., "desperately wicked" because its lust for pleasure is standing in the way, 'justifying' the hate. ([Mark 7:21-23](#))

*"To enjoy the present reconciles us to the actual." (Karl Marx, Critique of Hegel's 'Philosophy of Right') In other words according to Karl Marx establishing lust, i.e., enjoying the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world, i.e., the current situation and/or people are stimulating over and therefore against the father/Father's authority that gets in the way reconciles you to the world. Self is actualized in lust and the world that stimulates it. If you do not respond (in favor) to the situation and/or people who stimulate pleasure, i.e., lust you are not "of the world," needing to be converted, i.e., mentally and physically 'liberated' from whoever it is preventing you from becoming who you are (only "of the world") and if others stimulate hatred in you toward them for forcing you to miss out on pleasure, i.e., lust they are not "of the world," needing to be removed from the environment for the sake of lust, i.e., "human nature." Either way, according to Karl Marx it is the father's/Father's authority that must be *negated* (removed from the thoughts of men and from the environment, i.e., removed from their actions—the meaning of "in theory and in practice") in order for man to become his self, i.e., self actualized, i.e., only "of the world." Whatever (whoever) stimulates (liberates/emancipates) lust is "of the world." Whatever/whoever prevents (inhibits or blocks) it is not. How did they know who to shoot in the Russian (and in all the other stimulus-response) revolutions. Anyone who said "This is mine, not yours" (as in private property, private business, etc.), getting in the way of the Marxist's "ownership" of all that stimulated lust. This "Reasoning" follows in the footsteps of Jean-Jacques Rousseau (*Discourse on Inequality*) who wrote, "The fruits of the earth belong to us all [to the children, i.e., to Rousseau, et al, i.e., to the facilitator of 'change,' to the one making this declaration], and the earth itself to nobody," George Hegel (*System of Ethical Life*)*

who wrote, *"On account of the absolute and natural oneness of the husband, the wife, and the child [their lust for pleasure and their hate of restraint, what they all have in common—which is the basis of common-ism], where there is no antithesis [no "top-down," right-wrong way of thinking and acting, i.e., no "Mine, not yours" (the song "This land is my land. This land is your land" comes to mind] of person to person or of subject to object [everything is subject to the flesh, i.e., to lust and the world that stimulates it], the surplus is not the property of one of them, since their indifference is not a formal or a legal one [in other words the father/Father, i.e., the individual under God/God has no right of ownership or authority over the earth],"* and Karl Marx (*Critique of Hegel's 'Philosophy of Right'*) who wrote *"The proletariat [the children of disobedience, i.e., the one making this statement] thus has the same right as has the German king [the father/Father] when he calls, the people his people and a horse his horse."* all the above writing in defiance to God, i.e., *"The earth is the Lord's, and the fullness thereof,"* giving man *"dominion"* over all that is on it. In this way, according to Rousseau, Hegel, Karl Marx, et al, your spouse, your children, your property, your business, even you (your soul) belongs to Rousseau, Hegel, Marx, ... Mao, i.e., the facilitator of 'change.' Like the woman and Adam in the garden in Eden, what they see they "own" (is to be used for their pleasure, i.e., to satisfy their *lusts*), *negating* you if you get in their way, i.e., if you disagree, i.e., if you say *"Mine, not yours."* While you might work by "the sweat of your brow," Mr. Rousseau and his friends (who have never worked a day of their lives "by the sweat of his brow") can pick fruit off your tree and walk away eating it, saying, *"It belongs to us all."* You dare not complain. After all, in their mind you are working for them, to satisfy their, i.e., *"the people's" lusts*. As in the garden in Eden all they see they "own," i.e., belongs to them, to satisfy their *lusts*. This explains the current *"entitled"* generation, the product of Marxist education ([*"Bloom's Taxonomies"*](#))—by which all teachers are certified and schools accredited today).

"Rejoice, O young man, in thy youth; and let thy heart cheer thee in the days of thy youth, and walk in the ways of thine heart, and in the sight of thine eyes: but know thou, that for all these things God will bring thee into judgment." Ecclesiastes 11:9

"For we must all appear before the judgment seat of Christ; that every one may receive the things done in his body, according to that he hath done, whether it be good or bad." 2 Corinthians 5:10

"... it is not in man that walketh to direct his steps." Jeremiah 10:23

"Love not the world, neither the things that are in the world. If any man love the world, the love of the Father is not in him." 1 John 2:15

"I can of mine own self do nothing: as I hear, I judge: and my judgment is just; because I seek not mine own will, but the will of the Father which hath sent me."

"For I have not spoken of myself; but the Father which sent me, he gave me a commandment, what I should say, and what I should speak. And I know that his commandment is life everlasting: whatsoever I speak therefore, even as the Father said unto me, so I speak." John 12:47-50

"For whosoever shall do the will of my Father which is in heaven, the same is my brother, and sister, and mother." Matthew 12:50

"Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven." Matthew 7:21

"And call no man your father upon the earth: for one is your Father, which is in heaven." Matthew 23:9

If you make what you want, i.e., your *self interest*, i.e., *"the lust of the flesh, and the lust of the eyes, and the pride of life,"* i.e., that which is *"of the world"* the foundation of your thought ('reasoning' from your feelings, i.e., from "sense experience") all you have to work with is *"stimulus-response,"* i.e., what the master facilitator of 'change' *seduced* the woman into participating in, 'justify' her natural inclination to *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulated, establishing *lust*, i.e., her *self interest*, i.e., *stimulus-response* over and therefore against the "Father" and His authority, i.e., over and therefore against doing right and not wrong according to God's established commands, rules, facts, and truth, i.e., being *told*, i.e., *"Thou shalt surely die,"* believing a lie instead *"Ye shalt not surely die,"* i.e., that she would not be judged for her carnal thoughts and carnal actions, i.e., that she would not be cast into the lake of fire that is never quenched, prepared for the master facilitator of 'change' and all who follow after him. If you embrace stimulus-response, 'justifying' your *self*, i.e., your *lusts* you can not follow after the Lord.

"Then said Jesus unto his disciples, If any man will come after me, let him deny himself [deny his lusts], and take up his cross [denying the lusts of others enduring their rejection of him for doing so], and follow me [doing the Father's will]."
Matthew 16:24

The soul KNOWS from being *told*. The flesh by *"sense experience."* When God created Adam he made him, unlike any other living thing in the creation *"a living soul."* He then *told* ("*commanded*") him what he could and could not do, i.e., He *told* him what was right and what was wrong behavior, i.e., which trees he could eat the fruit of and which one he could not (lest he die).

"And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul." Genesis 2:7

"And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat: But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die." Genesis 2:16, 17

No animal, which are all subject only to *stimulus-response* (approach pleasure - avoid pain) and impulses and urges (instincts) can *read* or *write* a book, i.e., can be *told* or *tell* others what is right and what is wrong behavior, i.e., what they can and can not do. By making man subject to *stimulus-response* (only that which is of the world, i.e., the *cognitive, affective, and psycho-motor domains*) man is (deceptively) equated to an animal, approach pleasure and avoid pain, denying the fact that man does what animals can not do, i.e., reason from being *told*.

"So then faith cometh by hearing, and hearing by the word of God." Romans 10:17

"But he answered and said, It is written, Man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of God." Matthew 4:4

"But without faith it is impossible to please him: for he that cometh to God must believe that he is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently seek him." Hebrews 11:6

"For by grace are ye saved through faith; and that not of yourselves: it is the gift of God: Not of works, lest any man should boast." Ephesians 2:8, 9

"And this is the record, that God hath given to us eternal life, and this life is in his Son. He that hath the Son hath life; and he that hath not the Son of God hath not life." 1 John 5:11, 12

Eternal life is dependent upon faith, i.e., upon being *told*. Eternal death is the result of *"leaning to your own understanding,"* i.e., 'justifying' your *self*, i.e., your *lusts* of the 'moment' that the world, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating (your *lust* for pleasure and your *lust* for the approval of men, *affirming* your *lusts*), i.e., your *self interest*. [*"Building relationships on self interest"*](#) is Marxism, i.e., is *"of the world"* only.

"In the dialogic relation of recognizing oneself [one's lusts] in the other, they experience the common ground of their existence." (Jürgen Habermas, Knowledge & Human Interest, Chapter Three: The Idea of the Theory of Knowledge as Social Theory)

"Words and actions should help to unite, and not divide, the people." (Mao Zedong)

In other words, *lust* for pleasure and hatred toward restraint (toward the restrainer), i.e., what everyone has in common must become the means to knowing right from wrong

behavior (not the father's/Father's authority that divides "the people" on who is doing right and who is doing wrong according to his/His established commands, rules, facts, and truth).

*"It is not individualism [the child, humbling, denying, dying to his "self" in order to do the father's/Father's will] that fulfills the individual, on the contrary it destroys him. Society [the child's desire for approval from others, requiring him to compromise in order to "get along," i.e., in order to "build relationship"] is the necessary framework through which freedom and individuality ["freedom" from the father's/Father's authority and "freedom" to "lust" after pleasure without having a guilty conscience] are made realities." (Karl Marx, in John Lewis, *The Life and Teachings of Karl Marx*)*

The *guilty conscience* is the product of the father's/Father's authority, i.e., being told. The *super-ego* is the product of *stimulus-response*, i.e., *lust* for pleasure and hatred toward restraint (toward missing out on pleasure).

"The guilty conscience is formed in childhood by the incorporation of the parents and the wish to be father of oneself." "What we call 'conscience' perpetuates inside of us our bondage to past objects now part of ourselves:" (Brown) A definition of the guilty conscience from a Marxist's perspective.

*"The personal conscience is the key element in ensuring self-control, refraining from deviant behavior even when it can be easily perpetrated." "The family, the next most important unit affecting social control, is obviously instrumental in the initial formation of the conscience and in the continued reinforcement of the values that encourage law abiding behavior." (Dr. Robert Trojanowicz, *The meaning of "Community" in Community Policing*)*

"... the superego 'unites in itself the influences [impulses and urges, i.e., lusts and hates] of the present and of the past.'" (Brown)

*"Superego development is conceived as the incorporation of the moral standards of society. Therefore the levels of the Taxonomy should describe successive levels of goal setting appropriate to superego development [socialism]." (David Krathwohl, Benjamin S. Bloom, *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives Book 2: Affective Domain*)
Emphasis added.*

Remove the father's/Father's authority, i.e., fear of 'judgment' when establishing right and wrong behavior, i.e., replace *discussion* with *dialogue* and the *guilty conscience*, i.e., fear of 'judgment' is *negated*.

"The negative valence of a forbidden object which in itself attracts the child [the guilty conscience] thus usually derives from an induced field of force of an adult." "If this field of force loses its psychological existence for the child (e.g., if the adult goes away or loses his authority) the negative valence also disappears." (Kurt Lewin; A Dynamic Theory of Personality)

Dialogue is the key to 'change.' *Discussion* inhibits or blocks it. Society is united in *dialogue*, i.e., "Everyone is entitled to their *opinion*." Society is divided in *discussion*, where the response would be, when someone insists upon *dialogue* "But your position (*opinion*) is wrong and my position (established commands, rules, facts, and truth which I have been *told*, and am persuaded are right) is right." Individualism, under God is based upon absolutes. Society is based upon compromise (in order to "get along"). This is where the facilitator of 'change' comes in, moving communication away from *preaching*, *teaching*, and *discussing* established commands, rules, facts, and truth, that inhibits or blocks 'change' to *dialogue*, where everyone can share their *opinion* without being judged, put down, or cast out, which initiates and sustains 'change,' especially when it is done in an environment establishing right and wrong behavior. This is why Karl Marx's famous statement "*The philosophers have only interpreted the world in different ways, the objective however, is change*" is found on his tomb, i.e., people have come up with differing positions, each one insisting that their position is right and the other person's is wrong which causes division, when if they, through *dialogue* would 'discover' what they had in common, they could work together as a "team," overcoming division, (Karl Marx, *Feuerbach Thesis #11*)

Absolutes (commands, rules, facts, and truth) must be perceived as *opinions* and *opinions* (feelings) must be perceived as absolute. The facilitator of 'change,' perceiving his *self* to be the personification of "the people," who like him *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world, i.e., that the current situation and/or people are stimulating sees it as his duty to 'justify' them, i.e., their *lusts*, i.e., their *self interests* thus 'justifying' his *self*, i.e., his *lusts*, i.e., his *self interests*, converting, silencing, censoring, removing anyone who gets in his ("*the people's*") way. When he says "*It is not about you*" when you question his actions he is saying "*It is all about me, so I can lust after pleasure without having a guilty conscience, with your affirmation. If you refuse to affirm me, i.e. my lusts or get in my way, 'the people' will remove (negate) you (since, having 'justified' their lusts I now "own" them). It appears I must keep an eye on you from now on for my 'good.'*" All facilitator's of 'change' and their followers are intoxicated with, addicted to, and possessed by *lust*, 'justifying' all who think and act like them, converting (*seducing, deceiving, and manipulating*) those they can, silencing, censoring, removing those who get in their way (including the unborn, the elderly, the innocent, the righteous) so they can *lust* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world is stimulating, without having a *guilty conscience*, with "*the people's*" *affirmation*. In other words Marxist's, i.e., facilitator's of 'change' can not allow commands, rules, facts, and truth to get in the way of their *lusts*,

i.e., their *self interests*, preventing them from *actualizing their self*. They know the cost of that happening. *"If the 'restoring of life' of the world is to be conceived in terms of the Christian revelation [being told], then Marx must collapse into a bottomless abyss."* confirming the Word of God. *"Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them."* (Jürgen Habermas, *Theory and Practice*; Romans 1:32)

"We recognize the point of view that truth and knowledge are only relative and that there are no hard and fast truths which exist for all time and places." (Benjamin S. Bloom, *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives Book 1: Cognitive Domain*) Teachers and schools (including "Christian") are grounded (or being grounded if they are not already) upon this ideology, establishing stimulus response over and therefore against the father's/Father's authority system, i.e., over and therefore against established commands and rules to be obeyed and facts and truth to be accepted as is and applied.

That "*point of view*" is Karl Marx's, i.e., Marxism.

"In the eyes of the dialectic philosophy [using dialogue, i.e., "feelings," i.e., "sense experience" to come to the "truth"], nothing is established for all times, nothing is absolute or sacred." (Karl Marx's ideology, as explained by Friedrich Engels)

If you want to restore the "tower of Babel," i.e., 'create' *worldly peace and socialist harmony*, i.e., society you must use *dialogue* (which unites on feelings, i.e., on *lusts*), not *discussion* (which divides on right and wrong). Common-ism, i.e., Marxism, i.e., Globalism is grounded in *stimulus-response*, *negating* the father's/Father's authority in order for society, i.e., *worldly peace and socialist harmony*, i.e., common-ism, i.e., *lust* for pleasure (including the pleasure which comes from the *affirmation* of men) to become "reality," i.e., to become all there is to know and see, i.e., to experience. Karl Marx's statement *"I am nothing and I should be everything,"* speaking for *"the people,"* i.e., for his *self* is saying, "I am called a sinner, condemned, and cast out when I should be recognized as being in 'ownership' of all things and worshiped." (Karl Marx, *Critique of Hegel's 'Philosophy of Right'*)

"The real nature of man is the totality of social relations." (Karl Marx, *Thesis on Feuerbach* #6)

"Self-perfection of the human individual is fulfilled in union with the world in pleasure." "The individual is emancipated ['liberated' from the father's/Father's authority] in the social group." "Freud commented that only through the solidarity of all the participants could the sense of guilt [the guilty conscience which is engendered by the father's/Father's authority] be assuaged." ([Norman O. Brown](#), *Life Against Death: The Psychoanalytical Meaning of History*)

"Group members must be able to synthesize individual 'felt' needs [lusts] with common group 'felt' needs [lusts—turning on those who remain loyal to the father's/Father's authority, removing fear of God (judgment) from the environment]." ([Warren Bennis](#), *The Temporary Society*)

*"One of the most fascinating aspects of group therapy is that everyone is born again, born together in the group." "There is no more important issue than the interrelationship of the group members." "To question the value or activities of the group, would be to thrust himself into a state of dissonance." Long cherished but self-defeating beliefs and attitudes may waver and decompose in the face of a dissenting majority." "... few individuals, as Asch has shown, can maintain their objectivity [loyalty to the father's/Father's authority] in the face of apparent group unanimity; and the individual rejects critical feelings toward the group at this time to avoid a state of cognitive dissonance. ([Irvin D. Yalom](#), *Theory and Practice and Group Psychotherapy*)*

*"Only when the immediate interests [lusts, i.e., self interests] are integrated into a total view and related to the final goal of the process do they become revolutionary [overthrowing the father's/Father's authority in the individual, in "the group," and in society]." "The whole system of Marxism stands and falls with the principle that revolution [negation of the father's/Father's authority in setting policy] is the product of a point of view in which the category of totality ["group think," what all children have in common, i.e., lust for pleasure and fear of losing it] is dominant." ([György Lukács](#), *History & Class Consciousness: What is Orthodox Marxism?*)*

*"Revolutionary violence [overthrow of the father/Father and his/His authority] reconciles the disunited parties [the children/"the people"] by abolishing the alienation of class antagonism [the father's/Father's authority over the children/"the people"] that set in with the repression of initial morality [lust]. ... the revolution that must occur is the reaction of suppressed life [hatred toward restraint, i.e., toward authority], which will visit the causality of fate upon the rulers [the parents, the property owner, the business owner, etc., i.e., the father]. It is those who establish such domination and defend positions of power of this sort who set in motion the causality of fate [hate and violence toward them], divide society into social classes [parents over children, owners over workers, God over man, etc.], suppress justified interests [lusts], call forth the reactions of suppressed life [hate and violence], and finally experience their just fate in revolution [violence against and overthrow of their right of person (individuality, under God), right of conviction (freedom of speech and religion), property, and business]." (Jürgen Habermas, *Knowledge & Human Interest, Chapter Three: The Idea of the Theory of Knowledge as Social Theory*)*

"An act of violence is any situation in which some men prevent others from the process of inquiry ...any attempt to prevent human freedom is an 'act of violence.' Any system which deliberately tries to discourage critical consciousness [the questioning, challenging, defying, disregarding, attacking of authority] is guilty of oppressive violence. Any school which does not foster students' capacity for critical inquiry is guilty of violent oppression." (Paulo Freire *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*)

"Not feeling at home in the sinful world, Critical Criticism must set up a sinful world in its own home." "Critical Criticism is a spiritualistic lord, pure spontaneity, actus purus, intolerant of any influence from without [the father's/Father's authority]." (Karl Marx, *The Holy Family*)

It is in *dialogue* (with your *self* and with others) you circumvent the father's/Father's authority, i.e., judgment for your sinful nature, i.e., for *lusting* after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world is stimulating, i.e., you create a "*sinful world in [your] own home.*" In a group setting, when *dialogue*, i.e., "*Critical Criticism,*" i.e., *lust* for pleasure and hatred toward restraint is used to establish right and wrong behavior instead of *discussion*, which retains the father's/Father's authority, i.e., established commands, rules, facts, and truth (who/that have the final say) the person who insists upon *discussion* is going to be martyred. When *dialogue*, i.e., stimulus-response becomes the means of communication, *discussion*, i.e., the father's/Father's authority, i.e., established commands, rules, facts, and truth must be removed from the environment (*negated*) in order for man to become his *self*, i.e., *self actualized*, i.e., "*of the world*" only.

"In an ordinary discussion people usually hold relatively fixed positions and argue in favour of their views as they try to convince others to change." (Bohm and Peat, *Science, Order, and Creativity*) *Discussion* divides upon being right and not wrong, i.e., KNOWING, which is formal, i.e., judgmental, i.e., the father/Father retains his authority in *discussion*, i.e., has the final say, i.e., "*Because I said so,*" "*Never the less,*" "*It is written.*" Majority vote retains the father's/Father's authority system although the father might lose out on the particular issue at hand. Change in a *discussion* is from one position (established command, rule, fact, or truth) to another, which is established as the right one, all others being wrong.

"A dialogue is essentially a conversation between equals." "The spirit of dialogue, is in short, the ability to hold many points of view in suspension, along with a primary interest in the creation of common meaning." (Bohm and Peat, *Science, Order, and Creativity*) *Dialogue* unites upon "*feelings,*" i.e., "*I feel*" and/or "*I think,*" i.e., an *opinion*, which is informal, i.e., non-judgmental, i.e., the child retains his carnal nature in *dialogue*, having the final say (against authority, i.e., absolutes, i.e., the father's/Father's authority). There is no father's/Father's authority in *dialogue*, or in an *opinion*, or in the *consensus* process. There is only the child's natural inclination to *lust* after pleasure and hate restraint being 'justified.' *Dialogue* moves *opinions* to a

consensus, negating the father's/Father's authority and the [guilty conscience](#) it engenders in the process. 'Change' in *dialogue* is how a person feels in the 'moment' with pleasure or pain, i.e., *lust* and hate being stimulated by the current situation and/or people present, with what stimulates pleasure being right and what stimulates hate (missing out on pleasure) being wrong.

Communism is built upon what man has in common, i.e., his *lust* for pleasure and hatred toward restraint, i.e., that which is "*of the world*."

"Criticism proceeds on to praxis [for the Marxist it is not enough to criticize authority that restrains human nature, alienated man from himself and the world, criticism against the Patriarchal authority must be put into social action, i.e. into *praxis*, treating the patriarch as "irrational" and therefore "irrelevant" until he responds in reaction, then by force, with social approval, removing him from the environment (*annihilating* him) for the "good" of "the people," i.e., the Marxist/psychiatrist]." (Karl Marx, *Critique of Hegel's Philosophy of Right* ed. Joseph O'Malley)

"The philosophy of praxis is the absolute secularization of thought, an absolute humanism of history [where all thought and action is void of the father's/Father's authority, i.e., judgment for one's carnal thoughts and carnal actions in disobedience to the father/Father]." (Antonio Gramsci, *Selections from the Prison Notebooks*)

"The peasantry [the traditional family] *constantly regenerates the bourgeoisie* [the father's/Father's authority system]—*in positively every sphere of activity and life.*" *"We must learn how to eradicate all bourgeois habits, customs, and traditions everywhere."* ([Vladimir Lenin](#), *Left-Wing Communism: an Infantile Disorder An Essential Condition of the Bolsheviks' Success* May 12, 1920) Millions, hundreds of millions of people have died (and continue to die) violent deaths as a result of this ideology.

"Bypassing the traditional channels of top-down decision making [the father's/Father's authority, i.e., "rule of law"] *our objective centers upon transforming public opinion into an effective instrument of global politics.*" *"Individual values must be measured by their contribution to common interests and ultimately to world interests transforming public consensus into one favorable to the emergence of a stable and humanistic world order."* *"Consensus is both a personal and a political step. It is a precondition of all future steps."* (Ervin Laszlo, *A Strategy for the Future: The Systems Approach to World Order*)

Stimulus-response is man 'justifying' his *self*, i.e., his *self interest*, i.e., his *lust* for pleasure and his hatred toward restraint before one another, which is an *abomination* to God.

"And he said unto them, Ye are they which justify yourselves before men; but God knoweth your hearts: for that which is highly esteemed among men is abomination in the sight of God." Luke 16:15

"Trust in the Lord with all thine heart, and lean not unto thine own understanding. In all thy ways acknowledge him, and he shall direct thy paths." Proverb. 3: 5-6

"No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon." Matthew 6:24

"Know ye not, that to whom ye yield yourselves servants to obey, his servants ye are to whom ye obey; whether of sin unto death, or of obedience unto righteousness? But God be thanked, that ye were the servants of sin, but ye have obeyed from the heart that form of doctrine which was delivered you. Being then made free from sin, ye became the servants of righteousness." Romans 8:16-18

Stimulus-response is of the world. God and His Word are not. Making your self subject to stimulus-response requires you to make God's Word subject to your lusts, i.e., to your opinion, i.e., to the opinions of men, making you an "enemy of God."

"[T]he friendship of the world is enmity with God? whosoever therefore will be a friend of the world is the enemy of God." James 4:4

"... for if I yet pleased men, I should not be the servant of Christ." Galatians 1:10

"... and truly our fellowship is with the Father, and with his Son Jesus Christ." 1 John 1:3

Both Karl Marx and Sigmund Freud made *stimulus-response* the basis of life, damning all who listen to and follow after them.

"Sense experience must be the basis of all science." "Science is only genuine science when it proceeds from sense experience, in the two forms of sense perception and sensuous need, that is, only when it proceeds from Nature." (Karl Marx, MEGA I/3)

"Marx urged us to understand 'the sensuous world,' the object, reality, as human sensuous activity." (Lukács)

"Once the earthly family [where children learn to humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate their self in order to do the father's will] is discovered to be the secret of the Holy family [where the Son of God and those who follow Him humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate their self in order to do the Father's will], the former must then itself be destroyed [vernichtet, i.e., annihilated, i.e., [negated](#)] in

theory and in practice [in the persons personal thoughts and in his social actions]." ([Karl Marx](#), *Feuerbach Thesis #4*)

Karl Marx based his ideology off of Heraclitus.

"Every grown man of the Ephesians should hang himself and leave the city to the boys." Heraclitus

By making man subject to "science," i.e., to only that which "of the world," i.e., to only that which "proceeds from Nature" Karl Marx made man subject to "the lust of the flesh," what he called "sensuous need," "the lust of the eyes," what he called "sense perception," and "the pride of life," what he called "sense experience"—where man must 'justify' his *self*, i.e., his *lusts*, i.e., his *self interest*, to come into harmony with his *self* and other men, 'justifying' his removing of all who disagree, i.e., who get in his way, i.e., who are in the environment stimulating hatred instead of *lust*, i.e., pleasure (which includes the unborn, the elderly, the innocent, the righteous). After all since it is *stimulus-response* anything in the environment that gets in the way of *lust*, i.e., that engenders hate must be removed (*negated*) for the well being of man. This makes the father's/Father's authority (God and His Word) the enemy of man.

Sigmund Freud, the "father" of "behavior science" agreed.

"It is not really a decisive matter whether one has killed one's father or abstained from the deed,' if the function of the conflict and its consequences are the same [the husband/father no longer exercises his authority in the family, over his wife/children]." "The hatred against patriarchal suppression—a 'barrier to incest,' ... the desire (for the sons) to return to the mother culminates in the rebellion of the exiled sons, the collective killing and devouring of the father." (Sigmund Freud in [Herbert Marcuse](#), *Eros and Civilization: a psychological inquiry into Freud*)

Sigmund Freud's history of the prodigal son is not of the son coming to his senses, *humbling* his *self*, returning home, submitting his *self* to his father's authority, learning his inheritance was not his father's money but his father's love for him (Luke 15:11-24), but of the son joining with his "friends," returning home, killing the father, taking all that was his (the father's), using it to satisfy their carnal desires, i.e., their *lusts* of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, killing all the fathers in the land so all the children could be the same, i.e., like them, thereby *affirming* them, i.e., their "*incest*," 'justifying' and supporting their control over them.

"According to Freud, the ultimate essence of our being is erotic." "Eros is fundamentally a desire for union with objects in the world." "Eros is the foundation of morality." "Freud saw that in the id there is no negation [no parental authority, i.e. no Godly restraint, i.e. no "Thou shalt not"], only affirmation and eternity [only the

child's/student's natural inclination to *lust* after [dopamine emancipation](#)]. "Children have not acquired that sense of shame which, according to the Biblical story, expelled mankind from Paradise, and which, presumably, would be discarded if Paradise were regained [if pleasure (*lust*) became the agenda, i.e., the 'drive' and 'purpose' of life]." "The repression of normal adult sexuality is required only by cultures which are based on patriarchal domination [on doing the father's/Father's will]." "Our repressed desires are the desires we had unrepressed, in childhood; and they are sexual desires." "Parental discipline, religious denunciation of bodily pleasure, . . . have all left man overly docile, but secretly in his unconscious [in his urges and impulses of the 'moment' which are being stimulated by the world] unconvinced, and therefore neurotic [caught between his desire for parental approval and his *lust* for the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world is stimulation, having a guilty conscience for thinking about or doing the latter]." "The foundation on which the man of the future will be built is already there, in the repressed unconscious; the foundation has to be recovered ['liberated' from the guilty conscience, requiring the negation of the father's/Father's authority]. (Brown)

While Karl Marx killed the fathers, i.e., the father's/Father's authority in society, Sigmund Freud killed the father, i.e., the father's/Father's authority in the thoughts of the individual. Both, rejecting the father's/Father's authority, i.e., judgment for their carnal thoughts and carnal actions basing their ideology on *stimulus-response*, i.e., on that which is only "of the world." This "reasoning" is not new. Aristotle believed a "good" environment created a "good" (virtuous) person, that "goodness" resides in a person, waiting to be realized (actualized) if properly nurtured, i.e., if the person is raised (educated) properly (the ideology of stimulus-response)—making man, i.e., *self* the definer of good and evil instead of God (who alone is good). Martin Luther's response to the "Church" of his day (which continues to this day)—which used/uses Aristotle's reasoning as the means to developing right behavior (who did not understand or accept the total depravity of man)—was: "Aristotle is to theology as darkness is to light." "Virtually the entire Ethics of Aristotle is the worst enemy of grace." (Luther's Works: Vol. 31, Career of the Reformer: I, p.12)

"As the [Frankfurt School](#) [[Theodor Adorno](#), [Erick Fromm](#), etc., including [Kurt Lewin](#), who edited their newspaper] wrestled with how to 'reinvigorate Marx', they 'found the missing link in Freud.'" (Martin Jay, *The Dialectical Imagination: A History of the Frankfurt School and the Institute of Social Research, 1923-1950*)

In the early 30's *The Institute of Social Research* (György Lukács' research group), having settled in Frankfurt, Germany, thus the name "*the Frankfurt School*" (Lukács himself having returned to soviet Russia), fleeing Fascist Germany, came to America where they entered our Universities, from there spreading their *dialoguing of opinions* to a *consensus* process, i.e., Marxism throughout the land (there is no father's/Father's authority in *dialogue*, in an *opinion*, or in the *consensus* process, there is only the participants *lust* for

pleasure and hatred toward restraint being manifested and 'justified'), injecting it, via the [National Training Laboratories](#), Western Behavioral Sciences Institute, etc., into education, into business, into government, and even into the "church," establishing "sense experience," i.e., *lust* for pleasure, i.e., *self interest*, i.e., "What can I get out of this situation or these people for my self" over and therefore against the father's/Father's authority in setting policy. Instead of fighting the "church," those of the world, i.e., Marxists, i.e., facilitators of 'change' have now joined it—through the *praxis* of facilitation (being "positive," i.e., building relationship with one another based upon common *self interests* and not "negative," i.e., judging one another's thoughts and actions from God's Word) "helping" it "grow," making God's Word and the "church" subject to the *opinions* of men.

*"Marxian theory [society] needs Freudian-type instinct theory [man's natural inclination to lust after pleasure, including his lust for approval from others, affirming his lusts and his natural inclination to hate restraint, i.e., to hate the father's/Father's authority for getting in the way] to round it out. And of course, vice versa." "Third-Force psychology is also epi-Marxian in these senses, i.e., including the most basic scheme as true-good social conditions ['liberation' of "self," i.e., lust from the father's/Father's authority] are necessary for personal growth, bad social conditions [submission of "self" to the father's/Father's authority] stunt human nature,... This is to say, one could reinterpret Marx into a self-actualization-fostering Third- and Fourth-Force psychology-philosophy. And my impression is anyway that this is the direction in which they are going now." ([Abraham Maslow](#), *The Journals of Abraham Maslow*)*

Question the use of psychology, i.e., "behavior science" in the "church" today and you will quickly discover how Marxist it has become. When you are asked to share your *opinion* of God Word (instead of being encouraged to accept it as is and do the Father's will) you are in the garden in Eden, letting *stimulus-response* (your *lust* for pleasure which includes the approval of men) direct your life instead of God's Word. The moment the master facilitator of 'change' got the woman to share her *opinion* of God's Word, i.e., drew her into *dialogue* regarding right and wrong behavior he "owned" her. Instead of reading the [Word of God](#), accepting it as is and applying it to their lives, "Christians" are running to "Christian" bookstores, getting everybody's *opinion* of the Word of God. Martin Luther warned of this carnal habit, "Miserable Christians, whose words and faith still depend on the interpretations of men and who expect clarification from them! This is frivolous and ungodly. The Scriptures are common to all, and are clear enough in respect to what is necessary for salvation and are also obscure enough for inquiring minds ... let us reject the word of man." (Martin Luther, *Luther's Works: Vol. 32, Career of the Reformer: II*, p.217)

"To experience Freud is to partake a second time of the forbidden fruit;" (Brown)

"... the 'original sin' must be committed again: 'We must again eat from the tree of knowledge in order to fall back into the state of innocence.'" (Marcuse)

"This wisdom descendeth not from above, but is earthly, sensual, devilish." James 3:15

"The transgression of the wicked saith within my heart, that there is no fear of God before his eyes. For he flattereth himself in his own eyes, until his iniquity be found to be hateful. The words of his mouth are iniquity and deceit: he hath left off to be wise, and to do good. He deviseth mischief upon his bed; he setteth himself in a way that is not good; he abhorreth not evil." Psalms 36:1-4

"And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient [decent]; Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers, Backbiters, haters of God, despiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, Without understanding, covenantbreakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful:" Romans 1:28-32

"For the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears; And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables." 2 Timothy 4:3, 4

Facilitators of 'change,' i.e., psychologists, i.e., behavioral "scientists," i.e., group psychotherapists, i.e., Marxists (Transformational Marxists)—all being the same in method or formula—are using the dialoguing of opinions to a consensus (affirmation) process, i.e., dialectic 'reasoning' ('reasoning' from/through the students "feelings" of the 'moment,' i.e., from/through their "lust" for pleasure and their hate of restraint, in the "light" of their desire for group approval, i.e., affirmation and fear of group rejection) in the "group grade," "safe zone/space/place," "Don't be negative, be positive," "open ended, non-directed," soviet style, brainwashing (washing the father's/Father's authority from the children's thoughts and actions, i.e., "theory and practice," negating their having a guilty conscience, which the father's/father's authority engenders for doing wrong, disobeying, sinning in the process—called "the negation of negation" since the father's/Father's authority and the guilty conscience, being negative to the child's carnal nature, is negated in dialogue—in dialogue, opinion, and the consensus process there is no father's/Father's authority, i.e., no established aka absolute command, rule, facts, or truth to be accepted as is, by faith and obeyed; there is only the persons carnal desires, i.e., lusts of the past and the present being verbally expressed and 'justified'), inductive reasoning' ('reasoning' from/through the students "feelings," i.e., their natural inclination to "lust" after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment'—dopamine emancipation—which the

world stimulates, i.e., their "self interest," i.e., their "sense experience," selecting "appropriate information"—excluding, ignoring, or resisting, i.e., rejecting any "inappropriate" information, i.e., established command, rule, fact, or truth that gets in the way of their desired outcome, i.e., pleasure—in determining right from wrong behavior), "Bloom's Taxonomy," "affective domain," French Revolution (Liberté, Égalité, Fraternité) classroom "environment" in order (as in "new" world order) to 'liberate' children from parental authority, i.e., from the father's/Father's authority system (the Patriarchal Paradigm)—as predators, charlatans, pimps, pedophiles, seducing, deceiving, and manipulating them as chickens, rats, and dogs, i.e., treating them as natural resource ("human resource") in order to convert them into 'liberals,' 'socialists,' 'globalists,' so they, 'justifying' their "self" before one another, can do wrong, disobey, sin, i.e., can "lust" after the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' that the world stimulates, with impunity.

"Thus saith the LORD, Stand ye in the ways, and see, and ask for the old paths, where is the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls. But they said, We will not walk therein. Also I set watchmen over you, saying, Harken to the sound of the trumpet. But they said, We will not hearken." Jeremiah 6:16, 17

Home schooling material, co-ops, conferences, etc., are joining in the same praxis, fulfilling Immanuel Kant's as well as Georg Hegel's, Karl Marx's, and Sigmund Freud's agenda of using the pattern or method of Genesis 3:1-6, i.e., "self" 'justification,' i.e., dialectic (dialogue) 'reasoning,' i.e., 'reasoning' from/through your "feelings," i.e., your carnal desires of the 'moment' which are being stimulated by the world (including your desire for approval from others, with them affirming your carnal nature) in order to negate Hebrews 12:5-11, i.e., the father's/Father's authority, i.e., having to humble, deny, die to, control, discipline, capitulate your "self" (your lusts) in order to do the father's/Father's will, negating Romans 7:14-25, i.e., your having a guilty conscience when you do wrong, disobey, sin, thereby negating your having to repent before the father/Father for your doing wrong, disobedience, sins—which is the real agenda.

"And for this cause [because men, as "children of disobedience," 'justify' their "self," i.e., 'justify' their love of "self" and the world, i.e., their love of the carnal pleasures of the 'moment' (dopamine emancipation) which the world stimulates over and therefore against the Father's authority] God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie [that pleasure is the standard for "good" instead of doing the Father's will]: That they all might be damned who believed not the truth [in the Father and in His Son, Jesus Christ], but had pleasure in unrighteousness [in their "self" and the pleasures of the 'moment,' which the world stimulates]." 2 Thessalonians 2:11, 12